0UT61018

P 250020Z FM NPIC TO AFSSO FTD INFO DIA PROD CEN

1967 JAN 25 00 59 Z

25 JAN 1967

25X1

AFSSO USAF AFSSO AFSC

TOPSECRET

CITE NPIC 9682.

25X1

25X1

25X1

FTD FOR TDFBD

PROD CEN AND FID

1. REFERENCE DIAST-3 0045-67 DTG 201540Z JAN 67 FROM SSO DIA

(DTG 172100Z JAN 67) - NOTAL REGARD

ING THE REQUEST FOR ASSISTANCE ON THE CONFIGURATION OF THE PROBABLE LONG RANGE SAM MISSILE AND ASSOCIATED RADAR.

- 2. THE TRACKING/GUIDANCE RADAR ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROBABLE LONG RANGE SAM SYSTEM IS CURRENTLY UNDERGOING INTENSIVE PHOTO Scen Sommany States which is now in its terminal phase. A DETAILED REPORT DIDIDITION WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE VERY NEAR FUTURE. A BRIEF SUMMARY FOLLOWS: SIA.
- A. AS INTERPRETED FROM KEYHOLE PHOTOGRAPHY, THE RADAR IS BELIEVED TO CONSIST OF A NON-SYMMETRICAL ARRANGEMENT OF MULTIPLE COMPONENTS WHICH INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:
- (1) A LARGE PROBABLE REFLECTOR MOUNTED TO THE RIGHT AND A SMALLER PROBABLE REFLECTOR MOUNTED TO THE LEFT OF A BULKY PROBABLE FEED STRUCTURE;
- (2) AN UNIDENTIFIED ELEMENT END-MOUNTED ON THE PROBABLE FEED STRUCTURE:
- (3) AN UNIDENTIFIED ELEMENT ATTACHED OUTBOARD OF AND BELOW THE LEFT REFLECTOR BY MEANS OF STRUTS OR BRACES:

25X1

top sloke

Excluded from automat dawngrading and declassification



- B. THE HIGHEST PART OF THE RADAR, WHICH IS THE TOP OF THE RIGHT PROBABLE REFLECTOR, IS APPROXIMATELY 30 FEET ABOVE THE MOUND OR HARDSTAND UPON WHICH THE RADAR IS POSITIONED. THE RADAR'S OVERALL SPAN IS APPROXIMATELY 30 FEET FROM THE OUTER EDGE OF THE RIGHT PROBABLE REFLECTOR TO THE OUTER EDGE OF THE LEFT REFLECTOR AND THE APPROXIMATE DISTANCE FROM THE FRONT OF THE PROBABLE FEED STRUCTURE TO THE BACK OF THE REAR HOUSING THE PROBABLE FEED STRUCTURE IS POSITIONED ABOUT 1/3 (ONE THIRD) OF THE DISTANCE FROM THE LEFT END OF THE RADAR. BOTH THE RIGHT AND LEFT REFLECTORS ARE PROBABLY CURVED IN THE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL PLANES ALTHOUGH THE AMOUNT OF CURVATURE CAN NOT BE DETERMINED.
- J. AS REGARDS THE MISSILES SEEN AT SARY-SHAGAN, ATTENTION IS

 INVITED TO NPIC PIR

 DTD OCTOBER 1965, AND THE VARIOUS

 OAK REPORTS. CONTINUING ANALYSIS OF THE VARIOUS MISSILES IMAGED

 ON THE LARGER SCALE MISSIONS HAS NOT RESULTED IN ANY CHANGES TO THE

 ESTIMATE OF POSSIBILITIES SUGGESTED FOR CONSIDERATION ON PAGE 2 OF

 THE REFERENCED NPIC PIR, AND IN THE HIGHLIGHTS OF NPIC OAK 3, MISSION

 HOWEVER, ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED FOR CONSIDERATION

 DURING FURTHER ANALYSIS OF THE PROBABLE LONG RANGE SAM SYSTEM.
- 4. GENERALLY, THERE IS NO STRAIGHTFORWARD CONVERGENCE OF EVIDENCE REGARDING MISSILE CONFIGURATIONS. CERTAIN FEATURES ARE RELATIVELY PROMINENT WITH A MISSILE AT A GIVEN LOCATION BUT NOT CONSISTENTLY ON ALL MISSIONS. THE EVIDENCE INDICATES THAT, IF TWO DIFFERENT MISSILES ARE PRESENT, THEY HAVE APPEARED BOTH AT THE P

25X1

25X1

25X1

AND D FACILITY (LAUNCH SITE 3) AND AT NEARBY PROBABLE LONG RANGE SAM LAUNCH COMPLEX 2, SSATC. THE FOLLOWING, PREVIOUSLY UNREPORTED FEATURES APPEAR AT BOTH LAUNCH COMPLEXES:

A. A SEPARATION EXISTS BETWEEN THE LAUNCHER RAIL AND THE SUSTAINER PORTION OF THE MISSILE. AS SEEN ON AT LEAST 4 OCCASIONS. AT THREE DIFFERENT LAUNCH SITES WHEN THE SUN ANGLE WAS IDEAL FOR SHADOW ANALYSIS. NOTE THE FOLLOWING:

- A CONNECTION (POSSIBLE SUPPORT BRACE) EXTENDS FROM THE END OF THE LAUNCHER RAIL TO THE MISSILE SUSTAINER IN THE FIRST THREE OF THE ABOVE LISTED EXAMPLES.
- SHADOWS OF UNOCCUPIED LAUNCHERS SUGGEST THAT THE AFT PORTION OF THE LAUNCHER BAIL IS SLIGHTLY HIGHER THAN THE FORWARD SECTION. THIS CAN ALSO BE SEEN ON THE TARPAULIN COVERED POSSIBLE LAUNCHERS WHICH WERE PHOTOGRAPHED IN THE ENTUZIASTOV RAILROAD YARDS IN MOSCOW ON

CIA/PIR-71010, DTD OCTOBER 1966). THE PROBABLY ARTICULATED EXTEN-SION REFERRED TO AS A POSSIBLE BLAST DEFLECTOR APPEARS IN AN UP POSITION AT SARY SHAGAN LAUNCH POSITIONS (AND AT DEPLOYED LAUNCH SITES), HOWEVER, IT IS QUITE DIFFERENT FROM AN SA-2 BLAST DEFLECTOR, IF IT IN FACT SERVES SUCH A FUNCTION.

5. ONE OR MORE OF THE PROBABLE MOCK UP MISSILES NORTH OF POSI-

25X1

TION 3, SITE 3 HAS ON AT LEAST TWO CASIONS GIVEN A DISTINCT IMPRESSION OF DELTA LIKE EXTENSIONS ALONG THE AFT SECTION OF THE	
MISSILE (SEE FIGURE 3, NPIC REPORT , HOWEVER, ON THE	25X1
OTHER MISSIONS OF GENEPALLY COMPARABLE QUALITY, THE EXTENSIONS CAN	
NOT BE IDENTIFIED, THOUGH THE AFT END HAS ALWAYS APPEARED MARKEDLY	
THICKER THAN THE FORWARD OR SUSTAINER SECTION.	25 X 1
REVEALS THESE TWO PROBABLE MOCK UP MISSILES NOW APPEAR TO	25 X 1
BE OF THE SAME LENGTH, WITH ONE AGAIN GIVING AN IMPRESSION OF A	
DELTA LIKE EXTENSION. THE APPEARANCE OF A SIMILAR DELTA LIKE EXTEN-	
SION ON MISSILES AT LAUNCH SITES HAS NOT BEEN AS CLEAP, NEVERTHE-	
LESS, THERE IS AN INDICATION OF SUCH A CONFIGURATION IN THE FOLLOW-	
ING INSTANCES:	
A. PARTIALLY ERECTED ON LAUNCHER	25 X 1
AT LAUNCH POSITION 6 LAUNCH SITE 3, COMPLEX A, WHERE THE SHADOW	
WAS THE ONLY EVIDENCE SUGGESTING THIS SHAPE.	
B. ON THE PIGHT MISSILE DOLLY AT	25 X 1
LAUNCH POSITION 2 LAUNCH SITE A, COMPLEX 2, WHERE THE AFT END OF THE	
MISSILE HAS A DELTA LIKE EXTENSION, VISIBLE ONLY ON ONE	25X1
OF THE TWO PHOTOGRAPHIC FRAMES. BOOSTERS, WHETHER CLUSTERED OR	
STRAPPED ON, CAN NOT BE IDENTIFIED, THOUGH THEIR PRESENCE CAN NOT	
BE NEGATED.	
C. ON THE LEFT MISSILE DOLLY AT	25 X 1
LAUNCH POSITION 6, LAUNCH SITE 3, COMPLEX A, WHERE A	25X1
MISSILE HAD AN INDICATION OF FIN LIKE STRUCTURES NEAR THE AFT END	
OF THE MISSIF. LACK OF SHADOW CONFIRMATION AND MONOSCOPIC COVERAGE	

-5-

PRECLUDE A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT		
6. ON PHOTOGRAPHY OF GENEALLY S	IMILAR INTERPRETABILITY,	
MISSILES HAVE BEEN OBSERVED WITH NO	INDICATION OF FINS OR DELTA	
LIKE EXTENSIONS, AND ON THE CONTRAR	Y, HAVE ON ONE OCCASION APPEARED	
AS SHOWN IN FIGURE 1, OF NPIC	INSTANCES OF GENERALLY	25X
SIMILAR MISSILES ARE:		
A•	ON THE LAUNCHER AT LAUNCH	25 X
POSITION 6, LAUNCH SITE B, COMPLEX	2, WHERE A 33.5 FOOT MISSILE HAD	
A CONFIGURATION WHICH SUGGESTS EITH	ER STRAP-ON OR CLUSTERED BOOSTERS.	
A CANARD CAN NOT BE SEEN OR NEGATED	•	
	ON THE LAUNCHER AT LAUNCH	25X
POSITION 6, LAUNCH SITE 3, COMPLEX	A, WHERE A 35 FOOT LONG MISSILE	
HAD A GENERALLY SIMILAR SHAPE, THOU	GH INDIVIDUAL BOOSTER ELEMENTS	
COULD NOT BE DETECTED AS THEY WERE	ON A POSSIBLE	25 X 1
CANARD CONFIGURATION COULD BE DETECT	TED IN THIS INSTANCE.	
C.	ON THE LAUNCHER AT LAUNCH	25X
POSITION 1, LAUNCH SITE A, COMPLEX	2, WHERE A MISSILE (APPROXIMATELY	
30 FEET LONG, IF HORIZONTAL) HAD A	GENEALLY SIMILAR SHAPE TO THOSE	1
DESCRIBED IN PARA 7A AND 7B ABOVE,	HOWEVER, A CANARD WAS NOT DETECTED	
AND THE SHADOW CONFIGURATION WAS IN	CONFLICT WITH THE APPARENT	
SHAPE OF THE MISSILE ITSELF. THE A	NGLE OF THE SUN WITH REFERENCE	
TO THE LONGITUDINAL AXIS OF THE MIS	SILE WOULD TEND TO CREATE SOME	
DISTORTION, HOWEVER, IMAGE QUALITY	AND UNKNOWN SLOPE OF THE GROUND	
ON WHICH THE SHADOW FALLS DOES NOT 1	PERMIT FIRM CONCLUSIONS REGARDING	

THIS SHADOW.



7. VARIATIONS IN LENGTH MUST BE CONSIDERED IN THE LIGHT OF MENSURAL CONFIDENCE FACTORS AND THE DIFFICULTY OF POINTING WITH ACCURACY.

GP - 1

TO	PSECRET	
		THE OF MECCACE

-END OF MESSAGE-

